

PROCESS PALOOZA - THE GREAT LSS RACE
JUDGE'S GUIDE AND BALLOT



Process:

JUDGING ITEMS	Suggested Point Values				Team 1	Team 2	Team 3
	E X C E L L E N T	V E R Y	G O O D	F A I R			
LSS DMAIC Tools The appropriate Lean Six Sigma tools for the process were used correctly and effectively.	10	7-9	4-6	0-3			
Actionable Improvement The recommendation can feasibly be implemented and scales to business needs.	10	7-9	4-6	0-3			
Results & Impact The recommendation brings anticipated value and delivers impactful results.	10	7-9	4-6	0-3			
Creativity Creative and novel ideas and solutions were appropriately and powerfully applied to the problem.	10	7-9	4-6	0-3			
Presentation The team's presentation, both written and verbal, was compelling and engaging.	10	7-9	4-6	0-3			
TOTAL SCORE (50 Points Possible)							

Enter Name of Team _____

First Place: _____

Second Place: _____

Third Place: _____

PLACE	RANKING POINTS <small>(for counter's use)</small>
First Place	3 points
Second Place	2 points
Third Place	1 point

(Signature of Judge)

(Judge's Name; Please print)

PROCESS PALOOZA - THE GREAT LSS RACE
JUDGING CRITERIA



LSS DMAIC Tools (10 points)

The appropriate Lean Six Sigma tools for the process were used correctly and effectively. The Define-Measure-Analyze-Improve-Control (DMAIC) steps were clear and evident. Examples of tools include:

- * Define: process flow chart, value stream mapping, spaghetti diagram, priority calculator
- * Measure: histogram, pareto chart, trend chart
- * Analyze: 5-why analysis, fishbone, design of experiment, regression analysis
- * Improve: 5S, A3 report, Kaizen, system diagrams, error-proofing
- * Control: control plan, standard operating procedures, audits

The customers' needs were kept in mind while balancing increased efficiency with improved quality.

Actionable Improvement (10 points)

The recommendation can feasibly be implemented and scales to business needs. The improvements are systematic and based on well-defined and measured data. The recommendation for improvement aims to address the root problem.

Results & Impact (10 points)

The recommendation brings anticipated value and delivers impactful results. The anticipated value is based on actual data and is significant enough to warrant the change. The calculation of anticipated quantifiable savings is clear and accurate. Key measures are identified for tracking efficiency and accuracy.

Creativity (10 points)

Creative and novel ideas and solutions were appropriately and powerfully applied to the problem. "Out of the box" thinking was balanced with practical outcome.

Presentation & Teamwork (10 points)

The team's presentation, both written and verbal, was compelling and engaging. The terminology and language used was appropriate and understandable by the audience. Team dynamics were positive and benefitted the team's presentation and results.

JUDGE'S CODE OF ETHICS

- Judges will consciously avoid bias of any kind in selecting the first place team. They will not consider any competitor's title, department, division or Vice Chancellor area of affiliation. Nor will they consider any competitor's age, sex, race, creed, national origin, profession or political beliefs. They will demonstrate the utmost objectivity.
- Judges will not time the presentation and will not consider the possibility of under-time or overtime when judging a competitor's presentation. Time will be managed separately.
- Judges will support the judging standards, refraining from public criticism of the competition and revealing scores and ranking only in accordance with stated guidelines and policy.